

# THE BOTTOM LINE

Executive and Director Benefits and BOLI



FIRST QUARTER 2013

#### HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

- SUTARDIA V. UNITED STATES INDICATES IRS
  WILL SEEK PENALTIES UNDER CODE SECTION
  409A EVEN IN CASES WHERE THERE HAS
  BEEN A GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO COMPLY WITH
  SECTION 409A
- SUTARDJA UPHOLDS NOTICE 2005-1 AND REGULATIONS THAT SUBJECT DISCOUNTED STOCK OPTIONS TO CODE SECTION 409A
- TAXATION OF CURRENT BOLI POLICIES
   IS STABLE BUT M BENEFIT SOLUTIONS IS
   MONITORING PROPOSALS THAT COULD AFFECT
   THE TAXATION OF BOLI PURCHASED IN THE
   FUTURE
- Just the FAQs
- LIFE INSURANCE INDUSTRY IS STABLE BUT CURRENT LOW INTEREST RATE ENVIRONMENT IS PUTTING PRESSURE ON CARRIER EARNINGS
- M Benefit Solutions received favorable
   SSAE 16 Audit report in December 2012

# IRS AGGRESSIVELY SEEKS PENALTY TAXES UNDER SECTION 409A

The U.S. Court of Federal Claims in *Sutardja v. United States*, No. 11-724T (Fed. Cl. Feb 27, 2013) held that Internal Revenue Code Section 409A permits the taxation of discounted stock options. The result is consistent with IRS Notices and regulations under Code Section 409A but the case, more importantly, demonstrates that the IRS intends to aggressively enforce Code Section 409A penalties.

# The Facts

- On December 26, 2003, the Executive Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved a grant to Mr. Sutardja of stock options for 1.5 million shares of Marvell stock at an option price of \$36.50 per share. The options were to vest in segments at predetermined dates.
- The grant was "ratified" on January 16, 2004 by the Committee. The price of the stock on January 16, 2004, was \$43.64/share.
- In January 2006, Mr. Sutardja exercised options for 399,606 shares at a split price of \$18.25 per share, which was the stock price on December 26, 2003, the date of the original grant approval.
- In May 2006, the Board began a review of past stock option granting practices and determined that the option price should have been the price of the stock on January 16, 2004 rather than December 26, 2003. Mr. Sutardja then paid an additional \$5.3 million for the exercise of stock options. This amount represented the difference in exercise price both for the 2006 exercise and option exercises before 2006.
- Mr. Sutardja and his wife filed a joint tax return for 2006. In 2010, the Internal Revenue Service issued a notice of deficiency for 2006 in which it determined that the exercise of the stock options was from a nonqualified deferred compensation plan subject to Code Section 409A(d).

(Continued on next page)



### FIRST QUARTER 2013

■ The IRS assessed a tax liability for an additional 20 percent of the tax in the amount of \$3.1 million, and a second addition to tax of \$304,456. Sutardja paid the amount in the notice, a late-filing penalty, and requested a refund.

# Application of Section 409A

In 2004, Congress enacted Section 409A to provide that if deferred compensation fell within certain parameters it was subject to immediate taxation, plus an additional 20-percent tax, and interest for late payment at a premium rate. In 2005, IRS issued Notice 2005-1 to provide that if an option agreement allows the grantee to purchase stock at a discounted price it is deferred compensation under Code Section 409A and subject to Code Section 409A penalties.

The court rejected Mr. Sutardja's arguments that Code Section 409A could not apply to stock options in general and, in particular, this stock option exercise. The court found that:

- A grant of stock options could be a taxable event,
- Treasury regulations applying FICA tax to deferred compensation that exclude stock options from its operation does not preclude the IRS from treating stock option discounts as deferred compensation under Code Section 409A,
- Mr. Sutardja had a legally binding right to purchase shares at the time the shares vested, and
- The short-term deferral rule of Section 409A did not exclude treatment of the discounted stock option price as Code Section 409A deferred compensation.

Thus, the court determined that the case must go to trial to determine whether in fact the options in questions were discounted and whether there was deferred compensation to which Code Section 409A penalties might be applied.

The court's legal findings appear noncontroversial. However, the IRS's application of Code Section 409A penalties to pre-Code Section 409A option grants when the company and optionee attempted to fix the

pre-Code Section 409A defective stock option granting procedure appears very aggressive and does not bode well for a sensible application of Section 409A penalties to inadvertent mistakes.



# STATUS OF BANK-OWNED LIFE INSURANCE TAXATION

The tax treatment of bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) is currently stable. No important legislative changes have been introduced in Congress. However, with government revenue needs and continued talk of tax reform, changes to the tax treatment of BOLI cannot be ruled out during the current Congressional session.

The proposal most often made in recent years, appearing in several of the President's recent annual budget proposals, would deny company interest deductions allocated to life insurance policies unless the contract is on a person who owns at least 20 percent of the company.

Current law provides that the interest deductions of a business other than an insurance company are reduced to the extent the interest is allocable to unborrowed policy cash values based on a statutory formula. However, there is an exception to the pro rata interest disallowance with respect to contracts that cover individuals who are officers, directors, employees, or 20-percent owners of the taxpayer. The proposal would eliminate the exception for contracts that cover officers, directors, and employees.

The interest deduction disallowance proposal generally would be effective for purchases of life insurance contracts made after the enactment of any change in the law.

(Continued on next page)



### First Quarter 2013

In addition to this specific proposal, a recent Congressional Research Service report on revenue raising options for tax reform addressed life insurance products as a potential source of revenue.

While we do not expect any proposal to affect current BOLI policies, M Benefit Solutions will continue to monitor developments on the legislative front that may impact BOLI.



## JUST THE FAQS

Q: What is imputed income under a split-dollar life insurance benefit?

A: The IRS requires that participants be taxed for the value of employer-provided split-dollar life insurance coverage. The value of the benefit is determined by calculating its imputed income and including that amount in the participant's taxable income. The imputed income is meant to reflect the amount of premium the participant would have paid to purchase the same coverage on his or her own. While there are exceptions for arrangements that predate January 28, 2002, the imputed income is otherwise calculated using either IRS Table 2001 rates or the one-year term insurance rates for the life insurer providing the coverage. The insurer's rates must be for a term life product that is available for purchase to all standard risks. Regardless of the table used, the rates represent the cost per thousand dollars of coverage. The imputed income is calculated by multiplying the rate at the participant's current age times the amount of coverage and dividing by one thousand.

# FINANCIAL OUTLOOK FOR THE LIFE INSURANCE INDUSTRY

For 2013, the industry's ratings outlook generally remains stable though one rating agency revised its outlook for the industry last year from stable to negative based on the persistent low interest rate environment that is putting pressure on life insurer earnings.

# Recent History of the Life Insurance Industry

During 2009 and 2010, when all the ratings agencies rated the industry outlook as negative, there were many life insurers that had their financial strength ratings downgraded. Nevertheless, throughout this difficult economic time, the life insurance industry continued to maintain high financial strength ratings supported by good capitalization and liquidity. The pace of the financial downgrades slowed significantly during 2011 and 2012 with a slowly strengthening economy.

# Latest Ratings Agency Reports on the Life Insurance Industry

The most recent outlook from the ratings agencies generally calls for ratings stability during 2013 given the industry's generally strong regulatory capital position, favorable operating earnings levels, and ongoing efforts to improve balance sheets through liquidity and capital management steps.

In December 2012, Fitch Ratings stated in its U.S. Life Insurance Outlook for 2013 that the credit outlook for the industry remains stable. The stable outlook for U.S. life insurance reflects the industry's strong balance sheet fundamentals and improved liquidity profile, which helps to mitigate ongoing concerns over challenging macroeconomic conditions which continue to pressure industry operating fundamentals. While Fitch believes that the industry is well positioned to withstand macroeconomic challenges over the coming year, they cautioned that the outlook is vulnerable to, as are most industries, shocks in a severe, albeit unexpected, scenario.



# First Quarter 2013

In November 2012, S&P reported that while life insurers remain well-capitalized, their credit outlook for the North American life insurance industry is cautious. S&P expects a weak global economy, persistent low interest rates, and intense competition will continue to dampen insurers' revenue growth and limit the potential for higher operating margins. S&P believes these factors could cut into insurers' net interest margins (the return on an insurer's investments relative to its interest credited to policyholders) because many blocks of business are already at or near their guaranteed minimum interest rates.

In October 2012, A.M. Best reaffirmed its stable outlook for the U.S. life and annuity sector, noting it continues to monitor the macroeconomic environment and life insurance company initiatives to strengthen earnings and their affect on the life and annuity sector's absolute and risk-adjusted capitalization.

In September 2012, Moody's changed its outlook for the US life insurance industry to negative based on its view that low interest rates, in particular, will continue to depress companies' earnings over the next 12 to 18 months. Moody's Vice President, Laura Bazer stated: "We believe that low rates, along with below-trend economic growth and prolonged volatility in the equity markets, will continue to erode insurers' earnings and revenues, gradually weakening their financial flexibility."

# **Summary**

M Benefit Solutions will continue to monitor developments that may impact the financial health of the life insurance industry in general, as well as our clients' particular life insurance carriers.



# M BENEFIT SOLUTIONS' MOST RECENT SSAE 16 REPORT

In order to maintain its first-rate service to our clients, M Benefit Solutions made a corporate commitment in 2003 to undergo annual external audits to ensure we examine our internal structure on a regular basis to improve upon our existing practices. As a consequence, we underwent an annual SAS 70 audit through 2010.

Thereafter, the Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements ("SSAE") No. 16 Reporting on Controls at a Service Organization was adopted and replaced SAS 70 as the authoritative guidance for reporting on service organizations.

The SSAE 16 report represents that a service organization has been through an in-depth audit of their control activities which generally include controls over information technology and processes which relate to the data belonging to their clients.

M Benefit Solutions received a "clean opinion" Type II report for 2012, an indication of our ongoing success in this area.



#### First Quarter 2013

# **Advisor Firms**

M Benefit Solutions - Bank Strategies is structured to provide our clients with consistent nationwide coverage. We have identified several Advisors with extensive experience in bank executive and director benefits and BOLI to provide consulting services to clients nationwide.\*

Distributed throughout the country, these Advisors work interactively with M Benefit Solutions and bank clients to design programs which meet each bank's specific needs and to ensure high quality administrative and compliance services.

### **ECI/BANK BENEFITS**

Thomas V. Lynch

tlynch@ecicompanies.com

Minneapolis, MN

Phone: 952.885.2727; Fax: 952.885.0995

### EVERGREEN CONSULTING, INC.

**James Cheney** 

jcheney@evergreenci.com

Robert Kozloski

rkozloski@evergreenci.com

Chattanooga, TN

Phone: 423.756.3828; Fax: 423.265.0735

#### FINANCIAL DESIGNS LTD.

**Gerald Middel** 

imiddel@fdltd.com\_

Denver, CO

Phone: 303.948.4068; Fax: 303.832.7100

#### GOLDSTEIN FINANCIAL GROUP

**Murray Hoyt** 

mhoyt@goldsteinfinancial.com

Deerfield, IL

Phone: 720.838.8978

### GW FINANCIAL, LLC

John Gagnon

jgagnon@bolicoli.com

Reading, MA

Phone: 781.942.5700; Fax: 781.942.5710

### M BENEFIT SOLUTIONS - BANK STRATEGIES

Mark Boomgaarden

mark.boomgaarden@mben.com

St. Peter, MN

Phone: 952.334.3239

**Douglas Harper** 

douglas.harper@mben.com

Carefree, AZ Twin Falls, ID

Phone: 480.223.8141

Thomas J. Jordan

tom.jordan@c12group.com

Austin, TX

Phone: 512.656.9950

**Dan Wagner** 

dan.wagner@mben.com

Chesterfield, MO Phone: 636.530.1635

<sup>\*</sup>These Advisors are associated with our Broker/Dealer, M Holdings Securities, Inc.

# ABOUT M BENEFIT SOLUTIONS - BANK STRATEGIES

M Benefit Solutions - Bank Strategies, based in Portland, Oregon, is a division of M Benefit Solutions, a Subsidiary of M Financial Group. Please go to <a href="www.mfin.com/DisclosureStatement.htm">www.mfin.com/DisclosureStatement.htm</a> for further details regarding this relationship. M Benefit Solutions is a recognized leader in the community bank executive and director benefits and BOLI marketplace. Through a network of firms located in key markets across the country, M Benefit Solutions - Bank Strategies helps banks attract, retain, and reward key executives and directors through the design, implementation, and administration of benefit programs that maximize the use of a bank's financial resources. M Benefit Solutions - Bank Strategies is the Independent Community Bankers of America's (ICBA) Preferred Service Provider for executive and director benefits and BOLI. For more information, please visit <a href="www.mben.com/bank">www.mben.com/bank</a>.

The information incorporated into this presentation has been taken from sources, which we believe to be reliable, but there is no guarantee as to its accuracy.

This material is intended for informational purposes only and should not be construed as legal or tax advice and is not intended to replace the advice of a qualified attorney, tax advisor or plan provider. Please consult with your attorney or tax advisor as applicable.

Pursuant to IRS Circular 230, M Benefit Solutions notifies you as follows: The information contained in this document is not intended to and cannot be used by anyone to avoid IRS penalties.





M Benefit Solutions® Bank Strategies

An M Financial Group Company